

Show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} 4(x+3) = 20.$$

We have  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|f(x) - 20| = |4(x+3) - 20| = 4|x-2|.$$

Therefore, in order to get  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, |f(x) - 20| = 4|x-2| < \varepsilon$ , we can choose  $|x-2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \delta$ , thus

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \forall x \in D, x \neq 2, |x-2| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - 20| < \varepsilon.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow 2} 4(x+3) = 20.$$

### ■ Example 4.2

Show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -4} \frac{x^2 - 16}{10(x+4)} = -\frac{8}{10}.$$

Note that  $D = \mathbb{R}/\{-4\}$ , we have  $\forall x \in D$ ,

$$\left| f(x) - \left( -\frac{8}{10} \right) \right| = \left| \frac{x^2 - 16}{10(x+4)} + \frac{8}{10} \right| = \frac{1}{10} \left| \frac{(x-4)(x+4)}{x+4} + 8 \right| = \frac{1}{10} |(x-4) + 8| = \frac{1}{10} |x+4|.$$

Therefore, in order to get  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, |f(x) + \frac{8}{10}| < \varepsilon$ , we can choose  $|x+4| < 10\varepsilon = \delta$ , thus

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \delta = 10\varepsilon, \forall x \in D, x \neq -4, |x+4| < \delta \Rightarrow \left| f(x) + \frac{8}{10} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow -4} \frac{x^2 - 16}{10(x+4)} = -\frac{8}{10}.$$

### Definition 4.1.2 — Alternative definition.

Given a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , a point  $x_0$  (eventually not in  $D$ ) and  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $L$  being finite), we say that **the limit of the function  $f$  at  $x_0$  is  $L$**  if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall h \in D, h \neq 0, |h| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x_0 + h) - L| < \varepsilon,$$

and we denote

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L.$$

### ■ Example 4.3

Use this definition in the first example above to show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} 4(x+3) = 20.$$

We have  $\forall h \in D$ ,

$$|f(2+h) - 20| = |4(2+h+3) - 20| = 4|h|.$$

Therefore, in order to get  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, |f(2+h) - 20| = 4|h| < \varepsilon$ , we can choose  $|h| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \delta$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \forall h \in D, h \neq 0, |h| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(2+h) - 20| < \varepsilon. \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow 2} 4(x+3) = 20. \end{aligned}$$

### Definition 4.1.3

Given a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , a point  $x_0$  (eventually not in  $D$ ) and  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $L$  being finite), we say that **the limit from the right of the function  $f$  at  $x_0$  is  $L$**  if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in D, x_0 < x < x_0 + \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon,$$

and we denote

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f(x) = L.$$

Similarly we say that **the limit from the left of the function  $f$  at  $x_0$  is  $L$**  if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in D, x_0 - \delta < x < x_0 \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon,$$

and we denote

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} f(x) = L.$$

**R** The limit of  $f(x)$  as  $x$  approaches  $x_0$  exists  $\Leftrightarrow$  both limits of  $f(x)$  as  $x$  approaches  $x_0$  from the right and from the left exist and are equal, i.e

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L \Leftrightarrow \left( \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f(x) = L \right) \wedge \left( \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} f(x) = L \right).$$

### Theorem 4.1.1 — Sequential characterization of limits

Given a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a point  $x_0$  (eventually not in  $D$ ), then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L \Leftrightarrow$  for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  where  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x_n \in D$  and where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L$ .

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ : Assume

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in D, x \neq x_0, |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon.$$

Let an arbitrary sequence  $\{x_n\}$  where  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x_n \in D$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0 \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon', \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N, |x_n - x_0| < \varepsilon'.$$

In particular, for  $\varepsilon' = \delta$  we have

$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N, |x_n - x_0| < \delta.$$

By the assumption, this implies that  $|f(x_n) - L| < \varepsilon$ . Therefore

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N, |f(x_n) - L| < \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L.$$

$\Leftarrow$ : Assume that for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  where  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x_n \in D$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L$ .

We want to show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in D, x \neq x_0, |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon.$$

We proceed by contradiction: assume

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \exists x \in D, x \neq x_0, (|x - x_0| < \delta) \wedge (|f(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon).$$

But if we set  $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$  then we can build a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (|x_n - x_0| < \frac{1}{n}) \wedge (|f(x_n) - L| \geq \varepsilon)$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n - x_0| < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0$ , we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$ . Thus we can build a sequence which is converging to  $x_0$  and the sequence  $\{f(x_n)\}$  does not converge to  $L$  which contradicts the initial assumption. Therefore

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in D, x \neq x_0, |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L.$$

■

### Theorem 4.1.2

Assume that  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an increasing or decreasing function. Then

$$\forall c \in (a, b), \lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x) \quad \text{exist.}$$

In addition, if  $f$  is increasing, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} f(x) \leq f(c) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x).$$

If  $f$  is decreasing, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} f(x) \geq f(c) \geq \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x).$$

The limits  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} f(x)$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow b^-} f(x)$  exist as well.

*Proof.* Consider the case when  $f$  is increasing and let  $c \in (a, b)$ . Let

$$S = \{f(x) / c < x \leq b\}.$$

Since  $f$  is increasing,  $f(c)$  is a lower bound of  $S$ . Therefore,  $L = \inf S$  exists.

We will prove that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x) = L$ .

Let an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by the definition of the greatest lower bound of a set, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $c + \delta \leq b$  and

$$f(c) \leq f(c + \delta) < L + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $f$  is increasing, we have

$$\forall x, c < x < c + \delta, f(c) \leq f(x) \leq f(c + \delta) < L + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $L$  is a lower bound of the values  $f(x)$  in  $(c, b]$ , we have

$$\forall x, c < x < c + \delta, L \leq f(x) \leq f(c + \delta) < L + \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x) = L \text{ exists.}$$

Since  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, f(c) < L + \varepsilon$ , we have  $f(c) \leq L = \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x)$ .

To prove that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} f(x)$  exists and that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} f(x) \leq f(c)$ , it is sufficient repeat the same steps by considering  $\sup S$  where  $S$  is now defined by

$$S = \{f(x) / a \leq x < c\}.$$

The case of decreasing functions can be proven in a similar way. ■

**Theorem 4.1.3 — Cauchy condition for the limit of a function**

Assume that

- $f(x)$  is defined for  $x \in (c, c + \delta_0)$ ,  $\forall \delta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . If

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \delta \leq \delta_0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, (c < u < c + \delta) \wedge (c < v < c + \delta) \Rightarrow |f(u) - f(v)| < \varepsilon.$$

Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x)$  exists.

- $f(x)$  is defined for  $x \in (c - \delta_0, c)$ ,  $\forall \delta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . If

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \delta \leq \delta_0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, (c - \delta < u < c) \wedge (c - \delta < v < c) \Rightarrow |f(u) - f(v)| < \varepsilon.$$

Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} f(x)$  exists.

*Proof.* We prove only the first statement, the second one by be proven in a similar way.

We know that

$$\forall \delta_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \delta \leq \delta_0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, (c < u < c + \delta) \wedge (c < v < c + \delta) \Rightarrow |f(u) - f(v)| < \varepsilon.$$

In particular, for  $\delta_0 = 1$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,

$$\exists \delta_1 > 0, \delta_1 \leq 1, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, (c < u < c + \delta_1) \wedge (c < v < c + \delta_1) \Rightarrow |f(u) - f(v)| < 1.$$

Choosing  $x_1$  such that  $c < x_1 < c + \delta_1 < c + 1$  and if  $c < u < c + \delta_1$  we get

$$|f(u) - f(x_1)| < 1.$$

In the same way, for  $\delta_0 = \min(1/2, \delta_1)$ ,  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ :

$\exists \delta_2 < \min(1/2, \delta_1)$  such that we can select a point  $x_2$  where  $c < x_2 < c + \delta_2 < c + \frac{1}{2}$  and if  $c < u < c + \delta_2$  we have

$$|f(u) - f(x_2)| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Notice that

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < 1.$$

We can iterate this process  $n$  times and build two sequences  $x_1 > x_2 > x_3 > \dots > x_n$  and  $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > \delta_3 > \dots > \delta_n$  such that

$$c < x_k < c + \delta_k < c + \frac{1}{k},$$

and if  $c < u < c + \delta_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we have

$$|f(u) - f(x_k)| < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = c.$$

Notice that

$$|f(x_{n+k}) - f(x_n)| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$|f(x_{n+k}) - f(x_n)| < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and hence converges, i.e.  $\exists L \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L$ . We will prove now that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x) = L$ . We have  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}, N_1 > 2/\varepsilon$ . Thus, if  $\forall x, c < x < c + \delta_{N_1}$  we have  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|f(x) - L| \leq |f(x) - f(x_n)| + |f(x_n) - L|.$$

If we choose  $n \geq N_1$  we get

$$|f(x) - L| \leq \frac{1}{N_1} + |f(x_n) - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + |f(x_n) - L|.$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L$ ,

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N_2, |f(x_n) - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Set  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta_N \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x, c < x < c + \delta_N \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon. \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x) = L. \end{aligned}$$

■

## 4.2 Infinite limits

### Definition 4.2.1

Let a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

- $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = +\infty \Leftrightarrow \forall M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in D, x \neq x_0, |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow f(x) > M$ .
- $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f(x) = +\infty \Leftrightarrow \forall M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in D, x_0 < x < x_0 + \delta \Rightarrow f(x) > M$ .
- $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} f(x) = +\infty \Leftrightarrow \forall M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in D, x_0 - \delta < x < x_0 \Rightarrow f(x) > M$ .
- $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = -\infty \Leftrightarrow \forall M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in D, x \neq x_0, |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow f(x) < -M$ .
- $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f(x) = -\infty \Leftrightarrow \forall M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in D, x_0 < x < x_0 + \delta \Rightarrow f(x) < -M$ .
- $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} f(x) = -\infty \Leftrightarrow \forall M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in D, x_0 - \delta < x < x_0 \Rightarrow f(x) < -M$ .

**R** It is easy to check that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = -\infty \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (-f(x)) = +\infty.$$

### ■ Example 4.4

Let

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(x+3)(x-2)}.$$

Prove that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2^+} f(x) = +\infty$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2^-} f(x) = -\infty$

**Solution:** we first address the case  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2^+} f(x) = +\infty$ :

We can restrict  $x$  such that  $2 < x < 3$ , thus  $5 < x+3 < 6$  and  $\frac{1}{x+3} > \frac{1}{6}$ . Therefore

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(x+3)(x-2)} > \frac{1}{6(x-2)}.$$

In order to have  $\forall M > 0, f(x) > M$  it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{1}{6(x-2)} > M \Leftrightarrow 0 < x-2 < \frac{1}{6M} \Leftrightarrow 2 < x < 2 + \frac{1}{6M}.$$

Keeping in mind the constraint  $x < 3 = 2 + 1$ , we can set  $\delta = \min(1, \frac{1}{6M})$ . Thus we obtain,

$$\forall M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \delta = \min\left(1, \frac{1}{6M}\right), \forall x \in D, 2 < x < 2 + \delta \Rightarrow f(x) > M$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow 2^+} f(x) = +\infty.$$

For the second case,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2^-} f(x) = -\infty$ , it is generally easier to prove  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2^-} (-f(x)) = +\infty$ . We have  $x < 2$  thus  $x + 3 < 5$  and  $\frac{1}{x+3} > \frac{1}{5}$ . Therefore

$$-f(x) = \frac{1}{(x+3)(2-x)} > \frac{1}{5(2-x)}.$$

In order to have  $\forall M > 0, -f(x) > M$  it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{1}{5(2-x)} > M \Leftrightarrow 0 < 2-x < \frac{1}{5M} \Leftrightarrow 2 - \frac{1}{5M} < x < 2.$$

If we set  $\delta = \frac{1}{5M}$ , we finally get

$$\forall M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \delta = \frac{1}{5M}, \forall x \in D, 2 - \delta < x < 2 \Rightarrow -f(x) > M$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow 2^-} f(x) = -\infty.$$

#### Proposition 4.2.1

Assume that  $\forall x \in (a, b), f(x) > 0$ , let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = 0$ . Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{1}{f(x)} = +\infty.$$

Assume that  $\forall x \in (a, b), f(x) < 0$ , let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = 0$ . Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{1}{f(x)} = -\infty.$$

*Proof.* We prove only the first statement, the second one can be proven in a similar way. We follow a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.9.2. Since  $\forall x \in (a, b), f(x) > 0, x_0 \in (a, b)$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = 0$ , we have

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in (a, b), x \neq x_0, |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - 0| < \varepsilon.$$

Denote  $M = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ , then we have

$$\forall M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in (a, b), x \neq x_0, |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - 0| < \frac{1}{M}.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in (a, b), x \neq x_0, |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow \frac{1}{f(x)} > M.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{1}{f(x)} = +\infty.$$

■